

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,821		02/27/2002	Gordon Lamont	THOLAM P177US	6529
20210	7590	04/02/2004		EXAMINER	
DAVIS &	& BUJOL	D, P.L.L.C.	DONNELLY,	DONNELLY, JEROME W	
FOURTH	FLOOR				
500 N. CO	OMMERCI	IAL STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANCH	ESTER, N	H 03101-1151	3764	3	
				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/083821	Lamont				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jerome W Donnelly	3764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
•	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ∑ [∕	Claim(s) is/are rejected. $1-4,9,10$	11-14					
7)[3	-Claim(s) is/are objected to. 5 - 8	apare					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and another Donnelly							
Attachment(s) Primary Examiner							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). Other:							
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/083,821

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt et al in view of Rumell.

In regard to claims 1-4 and 9 Brandt discloses a device comprising a support, a gripping bar, a universal joint mounting; comprising elements 2, 8 and 11 having a first horizontal axis at 8 and a second axis capable at being horizontal at element 11, said axis being perpendicular.

In regard to claim 3 and 9 the examiner notes that to manufacture the gripping bar 3 of Brandt et al as being arcuate, would have been an obvious design choice in view of arcuate frame members (26) of Rumell.

In regard to claim 4 the applicant fails to further limit the device of claim 1 by adding structure of element to his device.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. 1) Note the overall device of Hoffman 2) Note the overall device of Wendel Ken.

Application/Control Number: 10/083,821

Art Unit: 3764

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerome W Donnelly at telephone number 308-2668.

Donnelly/DI

April 1, 2004

Jerome W. Donnelly Primary Examiner